to the spot and he took necessary action. He is still enquiring into the matter. He has been able to recover certain amount and the whole thing is under enquiry.

Sri G. V. GOWDA.—Why not the Government insist mentioning of whether the stock is received from a merchant or an agriculturist, in the receipts issued by the warehouses?

Sri KONDAJJI BASAPPA.—This is a Central Act and that Act does not make distinction between the two. I do not know why we should mention such a thing at all.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—If you do not mention whether the stock is received from a merchant or an agriculturist, in what way the Government can hold the price line?

Sri KONDAJJI BASAPPA.—The Act does not make a distinction between a ryot and a trader. Supposing some goods have to be marketed, if a ryot or a trader finds that market rates are not favourable, he can store them in a godown and when rates are favourable he can sell them.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಕೆ. ಪುಟ್ಟಯ್ಯ.—ಉತ್ತರದಲ್ಲಿ ಮರ್ಚೆಂಟ್ಸ್ ಇಷ್ಟು, ಕಲ್ಟಿವೇಟರ್ಸ್ ಇಷ್ಟು ಅಂತ ನಪರೇಟಾಗಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಅದು ಹೇಗೆ ಬಂತು?

Sri KONDAJJI BASAPPA.—The question itself is framed like that. So, we were able to find out the number of merchants and the rest must be cultivators.

Sri M. R. PATIL.—It is a fact that banks charge lower rate of interest on the warehouse receipts than on ordinary loans and they advance 90 per cent loans which ordinarily a bank would not advance?

Sri KONDAJJI BASAPPA.—I want notice.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಪಿ. ರೇವಣ್ಣಸಿದ್ದಪ್ಪ.—ಗೋಡೌನು ಅನುಕೂಲತೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವುದು ರೈತರು ನುಗ್ಗಿ ಕಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ ಅಗ್ಗವಾಗಿ ಮಾರಿಬಿಟ್ಟು ಆ ಮೇಲೆ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿಗೆ ದರಕ್ಕೆ ಕೊಳ್ಳಬಾರದೆಂದು. ಅವರು ವ್ಯಾಪಾರಸ್ಥರಿಗೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟು ವಾಪಾರಸ್ಥರು ಲಾಭಕ್ಕೆ ಮಾರಿದರೆ ತೊಂದರೆಯಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲವೇ?

Sri KONDAJJI BASAPPA.—That is also one of the reasons.

Sri M. R. PATIL.—Do not the present figures disclose that ware houses are there to help the merchants and withhold goods and exploit the consumers rather than help to achieve the object with which these ware houses were established?

Sri KONDAJJI BASAPPA.—It is the opinion of the Hon. Member.

Progress made in the Sharavathy Hydro-Electric Project up to the end of January 1963.

*Q.—274. Sri S. SIVAPPA (Shravanabelagola).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) what is the progress made in the Sharavathy Hydro-Electric Project up to the end of January 1963;

(b) to whom the construction and other works of this project is entrusted;

(SRI S. SIVAPPA)

(c) the details of the works entrusted to each contractor;

(d) the quantity of works turned out by each contractor during 1962-63 up to the end of January 1963;

(e) the amount of money drawn by these contrators during 1962-63 (up to the end of January 1963) ?

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL (Minister for Public Works) [on behalf of SRI S. NIJALINGAPPA (Chief Minister)].—

(a) Rs. 2,968.96 lakhs.

(Civil Works ... Rs. 23,95,97,569

Electrical Works. Rs. 5,73,00,000)

(b) to (e) *Vide* statement placed on the Table of the House. [Placed in the Mysore Legislature Library *Vide* No. 9, dated 22, March 1963].

Sri S. SIVAPPA.—The hon. Chief Minister is pleased to say that the works completed up to the end of January 1963 in the Sharavathy Valley is about Rs. 29 crores and 68 lakhs. In my question, I have asked the details of works entrusted to each contractor but the answer given is only in regard to the names of the workers. Will the Government be pleased to state how many units of masonry, concrete and earthern dam work was entrusted to Sri Tarapore Sri M. S. Ramaiah and others, the quantity of work they should complete before the end of 1963, the agreements entered into between the contractor and the Government ?

†Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—The hon. member wants the details about the units of work entrusted to each contractor, etc. Those details are not available with me just at present. If the hon. member wants them, I shall certainly get them and furnish to the hon. member.

Sri S. SIVAPPA.—Will the Government be pleased to state the percentage of work turned out by each contractor the quantity of work entrusted to them ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—The hon'ble Minister says that those details can be had if hon'ble member wants. I have the statement placed on the Table. The percentage of work etc. has to be worked out and given. He may kindly give a list of all the particulars he wants I will pass it on to the Minister. I will hold it for tomorrow or the day after tomorrow.

Sri S. SIVAPPA.—What is the difference in the rates of masonry and concrete dams given to Linganamakki and Talakalale dams ?

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—I do not have details with me just now. If a particular question is put, I am prepared to give the information.

Sri S. SIVAPPA. What is the difference in rates per 1000 cft. in respect of earthern dam given in Linganamakki and the rates prevailing in other parts of the State ?

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—The rates given to each contractor depends upon the tenders received and rates quoted in the tenders. All

those details are not with me just now. If the hon. member wants particular information about earthern, mason v or concrete dam, the hon. member can put a separate question and elicit information.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—I know that I will always get the last chance.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Does he not want to get even the last chance? Where was the need for that remark?

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಜೆ. ಮುಕ್ಕಣ್ಣಪ್ಪ.—ಕೊನೆಗಾದರೂ ನನಗೆ ಚಾನ್ಸ್ ಸಿಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ನೀವು ಅಡ್ಡಿ ಬರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ, ಅಂದೆ ವಿವರಗಳು ಬೇಕಾದರೆ hold over ಮಾಡುತ್ತೇವೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದಿರಿ. ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಹೇಳುತ್ತಾರೆ. ನನಗೆ ವಿವರಗಳನ್ನು ಕೊಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಸ್ವಲ್ಪ ಕಾಲ ಬೇಕಾಗುತ್ತೆ ಎಂದು hold over ಮಾಡುವುದು ಒಳ್ಳೆಯದು.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I will consider that. I will pass on that information to the hon. Minister.

ಶ್ರೀ. ಸಿ. ಜೆ. ಮುಕ್ಕಣ್ಣಪ್ಪ.—Supplementaries ಹಾಕುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಅವಕಾಶ ಕೊಟ್ಟರೆ, supplementaries are exhanjsted, the question need not be held over ಎಂತ ಹೇಳುತ್ತೀರಿ.

Sri S. SIVAPPA.—I wanted the names, etc. Those details are necessary. I request this question may be held over.

12-30 P.M.

Sri GANJI VEERAPPA.—May I know whether these works were entrusted to the contractors after calling for tenders?

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—Yes.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಪಿ. ರೇವಣ್ಣಸಿದ್ದಪ್ಪ.—ಟೆಂಡರ್ ಕಾಲ್ ಫಾರ್ ಮಾಡಿದರೆ highestಗೆ ಕೊಡುತ್ತೀರೋ ಅಥವಾ lowestಗೆ ಕೊಡುತ್ತೀರೋ?

ಶ್ರೀ ವೀರೇಂದ್ರ ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯವಾಗಿ ಯಾವ ಟೆಂಡರನ್ನು ಕಾಲ್‌ಫಾರ್ ಮಾಡಿದರೂ ಹೈಯೆಸ್ಟ್ ಟೆಂಡರಿಗೆ ಕೊಡುವ ಪರಿಸ್ಥಿತಿ ಬರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಲೊಯೆಸ್ಟ್ ಟೆಂಡರಿಗೆ ಪ್ರಿಫರ್ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೇವೆ.

Sri K. S. SURYANARAYANA RAO.—Supplementary to (a): Does this amount include the working capital provided to the contractor at a low rate of interest?

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—This is the payment made to the contractors.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಪಿ. ರೇವಣ್ಣಸಿದ್ದಪ್ಪ.—ಲೋಯೆಸ್ಟ್ ಟೆಂಡರಿಗೆ ನೀವು ಕೊಡುತ್ತೇವೆನ್ನುವಾಗ ಶ್ರೀಮಾನ್ ಎಂ. ಎಸ್. ರಾಮಯ್ಯ ಎಂಬುವರು ಮೊದಲು 40 ಚಿಲ್ಲರೆ ಕೊಟೇಷನ್ ಹಾಕಿದನಂತರ 100 ಚಿಲ್ಲರೆ ಕೊಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಕಾರಣವೇನು?

ಶ್ರೀ ವೀರೇಂದ್ರ ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಹೇಳುತ್ತಿರುವುದನ್ನು ನಾನು ಒಪ್ಪುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಪ್ರತ್ಯೇಕವಾಗಿ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಕೇಳಿದರೆ ಎಷ್ಟು ರೇಟ್ ಅವರು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆಂಬುದನ್ನು ಅಂಕಿ ಅಂಶ ಸಹಿತ ಕೊಡುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಆಗ ಅರ್ಥವಾಗುತ್ತದೆ.

Mr. SPEAKER.—This difference with regard to rate did for the subject matter of another question on a prior occasion and then it was said that it had arisen by mistake and was rectified. The same questions are now put as if they are new. I have no objection but the point is that other good questions will lapse. I would suggest that members might give a list of particulars that they want from the Hon'ble Minister. They may pass them on to the Secretary or to me and I will pass them on to the Minister and let the reply be ready as early as possible.

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—If the hon. members want any particulars, let them put a question and I may assure the House that I will furnish the information within the shortest possible period.

ಶ್ರೀ ವಾಲಿ ಚನ್ನಪ್ಪ.—ಟೆಂಡರ್ ಕರೆದದ್ದು ಯಾವಾಗ ಮತ್ತು ಕೆಲಸವನ್ನು entrust ಮಾಡಿದ್ದು ಯಾವಾಗ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ವೀರೇಂದ್ರ ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ಒಂದೇ ಸಲ ಏನೂ ಮಾಡಿಲ್ಲ. ಯಾವಾಗ ಕೆಲಸ entrust ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೆವೋ ಆಗ ಟೆಂಟರ್ ಕರೆಯಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಟೆಂಡರ್ ಕರೆದಾಗ ಕೆಲಸ entrust ಮಾಡಿಲ್ಲ. ಅವು different dates.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The particulars wanted by Sri Sivappa are recorded and I will pass them on to the Minister. Such of those hon. members who want some particulars from the hon. Minister may pass them on to me by 3 o' clock and I will pass them on to the Minister and they will come up again.

Tenants forcibly evicted in South Kanara District from the year 1960

*Q.—363. Sri S. SUBBAYYA NAIK (Sullia).—

Will the Government be pleased to state.—

(*a*) the number of tenants forcibly evicted in South Kanara District from the year 1960 up-to date (Talukwar information may be given);

(*b*) the reasons for evictions ;

(*c*) out of the tenants evicted, the number of evictions that are illegal ;

(*d*) the number of cases filed in this behalf (Talukwar information may be given) ?

*A.—Sri M. V. KRISHNAPPA (Minister for Revenue).—

(*a*) Three—one in Coondapur taluk and two in Udipi Taluk.

(*b*) and (*c*) All the three alleged forcible evictions were brought to the notice of the Assistant Commissioner, Coondapur by the tenants concerned by filing applications for restoration of possession under Section 4 (5) of the Madras Cultivating Tenants Protection Act, 1955 as amended by Madras Act No. XIV of 1956 ; out of the three applications filed for restoration of possession, in one case the tenant has withdrawn her application, in the second case further proceedings remain stayed as the M. T. (F. P Form E Act, 1962 is in force, under which the tenant now in possession of the land for cultivation cannot be dispossessed. The third case is posted for hearing to 20th December 1962. The reasons for evictions and whether the evictions are illegal can be known, if and when the competent authority decides the applications filed by tenants.

(*d*) Three as stated in reply to clause (*a*)